

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DAT | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/609,486 | 07/01/200 | Eric George de Buda | 1569-6/MBE | 1706 | |
| 38735 | 7590 04/ | 2005 | EXAMINER | | |
| | STRATTON LL | NGUYEN, VINCENT Q | | | |
| | STREET WEST S ON M5H 3R3 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| CANADA | | | 2858 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| 10/609,486 | GEORGE DE BUDA, ERIC | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Vincent Q. Nguyen | 2858 | | |

| | Vincent Q. Nguyen | 2858 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of a wing replies: (1) an amendment, affi otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN | | | | | | | |
| | TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee leave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief, | , will <u>not</u> be entered b | ecause | | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | onsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ ow); | TE below); | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | - | _ | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | I be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 21-44. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidav | vit or other evidence is | s necessary and | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ned. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | • | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | V. Laurer | - 4/23/05 | | | | |
| | VINCENT Q. NGU PRIMARY EXAMI | YENcent Q. Nguyen NERmary Examiner Art Unit: 2858 | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: THe amendment of claims 21-25, 27-29, 33-37 and 43, 44 would require further search and/or consideration.